
Addendum to Appendix A – WDT1299



Addendum #1

Cluster 8 Phase I Final Report

March 4, 2016

This study has been completed in coordination with the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) per Southern California Edison Company's Wholesale Distribution Access Tariff, Attachment I Generator Interconnection Procedures (GIP)

Project No.	Project Name	No	Date	Document Title	Description of Document
WDT1299	██████████	1	1/15/2016	Queue Cluster 8 Phase II Appendix A Final Report	Report to disclose results of QC8 Phase I cluster.
WDT1299	██████████	2	3/4/2016	Addendum #1 to Queue Cluster 8 Phase I Appendix A Final Report	The purpose of this report is to publish the written comments provided by the IC to SCE in accordance with the timelines stated per Section 4.5.7 in GIP

Executive Summary

██████████ an Interconnection Customer (IC), received a Queue Cluster 8 Phase I (QC8 Phase I) study report dated January 15, 2016 for its Interconnection Request (IR) to Southern California Edison (SCE) for their proposed ██████████ ██████████ queue position WDT1299.

Subsequent to the release of the QC8 Phase I report package for the Project dated January 15, 2016, to comply with GIP obligation to IC's written comments on interconnection studies as modified by FERC Order 792, SCE is publishing any written comments submitted by the IC:

- Within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of the QC8 PI report, but in no event less than three (3) Business Days before the Results Meeting conducted to discuss the report; and/or
- Additional comments on the final QC8 Phase I Interconnection Study report up to (3) Business Days following the Results Meeting

This addendum report shown below discloses the written comments provided by the IC to SCE in accordance with the timelines stated in GIP for QC8 Phase I study report dated January 15, 2016. The Phase I study report is unaffected by this addendum report.

QC8 Phase I – WDT1299 – [REDACTED]

1. Written comments provided by IC within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of the QC8 PI report.

Study Assumptions:

1. Confirm the power injected to the grid will is [REDACTED] at the POI and that this would be the number that would appear in the GIA.

Upgrades:

1. Section D of Appendix A, Item II, indicates no power flow issues on the [REDACTED] and therefore, no mitigations on the subtransmission system to be require by the Project.
 - a. Why over \$1.7M in the subtransmission upgrade costs in Attachment 2?
2. Section E, Item 3, Ground Grid Duty Concerns indicates this will be addressed later.
 - a. When will this be addressed?
 - b. How does this impact the costs of the interconnection.
3. Can SCE provide more detailed estimates of the Plan of Service as described in the Attachment 1?
4. Possibility of what could become a Stand Alone Upgrades.
 - a. What does this do to the permitting costs, timelines?
 - b. What does the process look like for this?

Permitting questions:

1. Why \$11M for a simple [REDACTED]
2. What is the scope of the required permitting?
3. Is there a Federal nexus?
4. Is there a permitting requirement beyond [REDACTED]

Miscellaneous:

1. How much has SCE spent to-date on the studies?
2. Written comments provided by IC three (3) Business Days following the Results Meeting.
 - a. None