
Appendix A – WDT1268




Queue Cluster 8 Phase I Report

January 15, 2016

This study has been completed in coordination with the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) per Southern California Edison Company's Wholesale Distribution Access Tariff, Attachment I Generator Interconnection Procedures (GIP)

Table of Contents

A. Introduction	1
B. Study Assumptions.....	5
C. Reliability Standards, Study Criteria and Methodology	6
D. Power Flow Reliability Assessment Results	6
E. Short Circuit Duty Results.....	7
F. Transient Stability Evaluation.....	9
G. Power Factor Requirements.....	9
H. Deliverability Assessment Results	9
I. Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, and Distribution Upgrades	9
J. Cost and Construction Duration Estimates	9
K. SCE Technical Requirements	11
L. Subsynchronous Interaction Evaluations.....	11
M. Environmental Evaluation, Permitting, and Licensing.....	11
N. Affected Systems Coordination	11
O. Items not covered in this study	12

Attachments:

1. Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, and Distribution Upgrades
2. Escalated Cost and Time to Construct for Interconnection Facilities, Reliability Network Upgrades, Delivery Network Upgrades, and Distribution Upgrades
3. Allocation of Network Upgrades for Cost Estimates and Maximum Network Upgrade Cost Responsibility
4. Distribution Provider's Interconnection Handbook
5. Short Circuit Duty Calculation Study Results (see Appendix H of the Bulk Area Report)
6. Interconnection Customer Provided Dynamic Data
7. SCE Northern Hemisphere Import Nomogram
8. Subtransmission Assessment Report – [REDACTED]

A. Introduction

██████████ the Interconnection Customer (IC), has submitted a completed Interconnection Request (IR) to Southern California Edison Company (SCE) for their proposed ██████████ (Project). The Project requested a Point of Interconnection (POI) at Southern California Edison Company's (SCE) ██████████ located in Kern County, CA approximately 5.6 miles north west of the ██████████. The IC requested Full Capacity Deliverability Status (FCDS) for their Project. The IC desires an In-Service Date (ISD) and Commercial Operation Date (COD) of January 31, 2018 and March 31, 2018 respectively. Such dates are specified in the Project's IR submittal. Actual ISD and COD will depend on detailed design, engineering, and construction requirements to interconnect the Project after the Generator Interconnection Agreement (GIA) has been executed and filed at Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) for acceptance.

In accordance with FERC approved CAISO Tariff Appendix DD Generator Interconnection and Deliverability Allocation Procedures (GIDAP), the Project was grouped with Queue Cluster 8 (QC8) Phase I projects to determine the impacts of the group as well as impacts of the Project on the CAISO Controlled Grid.

An Area and Subtransmission Report have been prepared separately which identify the combined impacts of all projects in the group on the CAISO Controlled Grid (Area Report) and impacts of projects seeking interconnection to distribution facilities served out of the ██████████ (Subtransmission Assessment Report – ██████████) both are included in the QC8 PI report package. This report focuses only on the impacts or impact contributions of the Project, and it is not intended to supersede any contractual terms or conditions specified in a GIA.

The report provides the following:

1. Transmission System impacts caused by the Project;
2. Distribution System impacts caused by the Project;
3. System reinforcements necessary to mitigate the adverse impacts caused by the Project under various system conditions;
4. A list of required facilities and a unit cost estimate of the Project's cost responsibility and time to construct¹ these facilities. Such information is provided in Attachment 1 and Attachment 2 as separate documents in the Appendix A Project report package.

All equipment and facilities comprising the Project's Generating Facility are located in Kern County, California approximately 5.6 miles north west of the ██████████ as disclosed by the IC in its IR, as may have been amended during the interconnection Study process, which consists of (i) ██████████ with a rated output of ██████████ each for a combined gross rated output of ██████████ as measured at the generator and inverter terminals, (ii) the associated infrastructure and step-up transformers, (iii) meters and metering equipment, (iv) appurtenant equipment, and (v) auxiliary loads.

¹ It should be noted that construction is only part of the duration of months specified in the study, which includes detailed engineering, licensing, and other activities required to bring such facilities into service. These durations are from the execution of the GIA, receipt of: all required information, funding, and written authorization to proceed from the IC as will be specified in the GIA to commence the work

Based on the technical data provided for collector system equivalent, pad-mount and main transformer banks, the total internal project losses were identified to be [REDACTED]. Losses on the gen-tie were identified to be minimal. In addition, the IC identified a total of [REDACTED] of aux load. Subtracting losses and aux load from the gross [REDACTED] would result in a POI delivery of [REDACTED] which is in excess of the [REDACTED] POI delivery requested amount. While the Project has the capability of delivering more than the requested [REDACTED] at the POI, the IC indicated that they would limit total Project output to not exceed [REDACTED] as metered at the POI. To ensure POI delivery does not exceed [REDACTED] the Project will need to install or demonstrate that a control system will be put in place which will manage output as measured at the high side of the main transformer bank to not exceed [REDACTED] since the expected losses on the gen-tie line are minimal.

The Project shall consist of the Generating Facility and the IC's Interconnection Facilities as illustrated below in Figure A.1 and summarized below in Table A.1. Figure A.2 provides a map that illustrates the geographic location of the Project.

Figure A.1: Project IC Facilities One-Line Diagram




Figure A.2: Project Location Map




Table A.1 Project General Information

Project Location	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] CA Kern County [REDACTED]
Distribution Provider's Planning Area	SCE Northern [REDACTED] Subtransmission System
Number and Types of Generators	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Interconnection Voltage	[REDACTED]
POI	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Requested Maximum Project Output as measured at POI	[REDACTED]
Gen-Tie	Negligible – Details not provided
Step-up Transformer(s)	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Estimated Losses on Gen-Tie	[REDACTED]
Step-Up Transformer (s) Losses based on IC technical data	[REDACTED]
Padmount Transformer Losses based on IC technical data	[REDACTED]
Generator Auxiliary Load	[REDACTED]
Internal Generation Facility Losses based on IC technical data for collector system equivalent	[REDACTED]
Maximum POI Delivery (Gross output less losses less aux load)	[REDACTED]
Limited Maximum net output at generation facility (High Side of Main Transformer) to achieve requested POI Delivery	[REDACTED] (see note below)
Internal Generation Facility Losses based on IC technical data for collector system equivalent	[REDACTED]
Power Factor Range	[REDACTED] at POI per interconnection application
IC Requested COD	March 31, 2018

Note: Based on the technical data provided, the project is requesting to install more megawatts [REDACTED] [REDACTED] as measured at the inverter terminal which will result in more than the requested [REDACTED] delivery at the POI. As a result, the project will need to be limited to not exceed the values shown under Limited Maximum Net Output (metered on high-side of the main transformer bank).

B. Study Assumptions

For detailed assumptions regarding the group cluster analysis, please refer to the QC8 Phase I Area Report. Below are the assumptions specific to the Project:

1. The following is the Plan of Service (POS) assumed for the Project in the Phase I Study:

The Project was modeled as interconnecting [REDACTED] of gross generation at the Generating Facility with an interconnection to the Project provided by looping the [REDACTED]

2. The following Facilities will be installed by SCE and **are included** in this Phase I Study:

- The [REDACTED] substation.
- The [REDACTED] to loop in the [REDACTED]
- The segment of [REDACTED] inside the [REDACTED] property line.
- The segments of each of the two generator – owned telecommunication paths inside the loop substation property line.
- The required revenue metering cabinet and retail load meters.
- Lightwave, channel bank, and associated equipment at the [REDACTED] and at the Generating Facility.

NOTE: SCE installation does not include metering, voltage, and current transformers. The SCE Meters will be connected to the generator – owned voltage and current transformers to be installed for their CAISO metering.

3. The following Facilities will be installed by the IC and **are not included** in this Phase I Study:

- The [REDACTED] from the Generating Facility to the last structure outside the loop substation property line.
- The [REDACTED] and an additional [REDACTED] to provide two diversely routed telecommunication paths required for the line protection relays.
- The required CAISO metering equipment (voltage and current transformers and CAISO meters).

NOTE: The metering voltage and current transformers installed for the CAISO metering will also be used for the SCE owned retail load meters.

- The following line protection relays to be installed at the Generating Facility end of the [REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

4. Additional QC8 Phase I Study and/or Assumption Notes:

- Project is studied without any plan of service facilities shared with other QC8 Phase I projects.

C. Reliability Standards, Study Criteria and Methodology

The generator interconnection studies will be conducted to ensure the CAISO-controlled grid is in compliance with the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) reliability standards, WECC regional criteria, and the CAISO planning standards. Refer to Section C of the Bulk Area Report for details of the applicable reliability standards, study criteria and methodology.

D. Power Flow Reliability Assessment Results

❖ Discharge Analysis of the Project

I. Steady State Power Flow Analysis Results – 220 kV and above

1. Thermal Overloads

The study did not identify any power flow issues on the Bulk Electric System not addressed via the use of CAISO Congestion Management or via already approved transmission upgrades. The Project will be required to participate in any congestion management mitigation until such time that the already approved transmission upgrades are placed into service. Consequently, the Project is not allocated cost for any Network Upgrades identified to address power flow issues. The details of the power flow analysis are provided in Section D of the Northern Area Report.

2. Voltage Performance

The Project is required to provide power factor regulation capability [REDACTED] to alleviate transmission level non-convergence and maintain the transmission transfer capability.

II. Steady State Power Flow Analysis Results – 66 kV

1. Thermal Overloads

The study did not identify any power flow issues on the [REDACTED] Subtransmission System. The details of the power flow analysis are provided in the Subtransmission Assessment Report.

2. Voltage Performance

The Project is required to provide power factor regulation capability [REDACTED] for asynchronous generation and [REDACTED] at generator terminals for synchronous generators) to alleviate power flow non-convergence and maintain the Transmission transfer capability.

3. Required Mitigations

No mitigations on the subtransmission system were identified to be required by the Project.

❖ Charging Analysis of Project – This project does not involve energy storage.

E. Short Circuit Duty Results

Short circuit studies were performed to determine the fault duty impact of adding the QC8 Phase I projects to the transmission system and to ensure system coordination. The fault duties were calculated with and without the projects to identify any equipment overstress conditions. Once overstressed circuit breakers caused by the inclusion of the QC8 projects and/or queued ahead generation were identified, the fault current contribution from each individual project in QC8 Phase I was determined. Each project in the cluster will be responsible for its share of the upgrade cost based on the rules set forth in CAISO Tariff Appendix DD.

1. Short Circuit Duty Study Input Data

The IC provided technical data for the identified inverter (specified in Section 2). SCE compared the technical data provided against manufacturer data, if the manufacturer Short Circuit Duty (SCD) information for the specific inverter was available. If the technical data provided by the IC differed from the inverter manufacturer data, then SCE utilized the manufacturer data in the SCD analysis. Based on the comparison, the technical data provided by the IC are consistent with the manufacturer data.

"Inverter Based Generation"

Data for Each generation unit: Maximum Fault contribution: [REDACTED]

Generation tie-line:

Length:	[REDACTED]
Conductor:	Undefined
Z1(p.u.) conductor impedance information:	Negligible
Z0(p.u.) conductor impedance information:	Negligible

Collector System:

Amperes	[REDACTED]
Z1(p.u.) conductor impedance information:	[REDACTED]

Generation Step-up and Pad-Mount Transformers

Technical details are provided above in Table A-1.

2. Short Circuit Duty Study Results

All bus locations where the QC8 Phase I projects increase the short-circuit duty by [REDACTED] or more and where duty was found to be in excess of 60% of the minimum breaker nameplate rating are listed in the QC8 Phase I Area Report (Appendix H). These values have been used to determine if any equipment is overstressed as a result of the inclusion of QC8 Phase I interconnections and corresponding network upgrades, if any.

The responsibility to finance short circuit related Reliability Network Upgrades identified through a Group Study shall be assigned to all IRs in that Group Study pro rata on the basis of SCD contribution of each Generating Facility.

Please refer to the QC8 Phase I Area Report for the QC8 Phase I breaker evaluation which did not identify any additional overstressed circuit breakers triggered with the inclusion of QC8 Phase I without ADNUs.

As a sensitivity, ADNUs identified for QC8 Phase I were included to review the potential for additional SCD mitigation (classified as ADNU). This sensitivity study identified the effective duty at [REDACTED] (E) to increase [REDACTED] which is in excess of current maximum nameplate ratings. To mitigate this SCD problem, a system reconfiguration would be needed to lower SCD to within the maximum [REDACTED] circuit breaker limits at [REDACTED]. No cost estimates were identified for this mitigation at this time but will be further reviewed as part of QC8 Phase II.

3. SCE Substations with Ground Grid Duty Concerns

The short circuit studies flagged for further review a total of [REDACTED] existing substations where the QC8 Phase I Projects increased the substation ground grid duty by at least [REDACTED]. Additional review will be performed as part of Phase II to determine if any of these locations will require a detailed ground grid analysis performed as part of project execution once GIAs are in place and projects proceed forward towards interconnection.

4. Preliminary Protection Requirements

Protection requirements are designed and intended to protect the Distribution Provider's Distribution System only. The preliminary protection requirements were based upon the interconnection plan as shown in the one-line diagram depicted in line item #7 in Attachment 1.

The IC is responsible for the protection of its own system and equipment and must meet the requirements in the Distribution Provider's Interconnection Handbook provided in Attachment 4.

F. Transient Stability Evaluation

With the Project providing [REDACTED] correction as measured at the POI and including the required mitigation identified above, transient stability performance was found to be acceptable. Refer to enclosed Bulk Area Report and Subtransmission Assessment Report in the QC8 Phase I report package, for the QC8 Phase I transient stability evaluation criteria and assessment results.

G. Power Factor Requirements

Based on the results of the Study, the Project will need to be designed to maintain a composite power delivery at continuous rated power at the POI at a power factor within the range of [REDACTED] for asynchronous generation and [REDACTED] at generator terminals for synchronous generators. Additionally, the generation system must be designed to accommodate a VAR schedule provided by SCE. SCE will determine if the VAR schedule is necessary based on future re-arrangements of SCE's Transmission System.

H. Deliverability Assessment Results

1. On Peak Deliverability Assessment

The Project obtained the Full Capacity Deliverability Status through the 2015 Distributed Generation Deliverability (DGD) process.

2. Off- Peak Deliverability Assessment

Under off-peak conditions, [REDACTED] are overloaded under various contingency conditions. For details, see Section E.2 of the Area Report.

3. Required Mitigations

No Delivery Network Upgrades are required.

I. Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, and Distribution Upgrades

Please see **Attachment 1** for the Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities (IF), Reliability Network Upgrades (RNUs), Delivery Network Upgrades (DNU) and Distribution Upgrades (DU) allocated to the Project. Please note that SCE will not "reserve" the identified IF for the proposed POI. The identified scope/facilities will be allocated to the Project upon the successful execution of the GIA and SCE has completed the detailed design and engineering of the facilities according to tariff timelines.

J. Cost and Construction Duration Estimates

To determine the cost responsibility of each generation project in QC8 Phase I, the CAISO developed cost allocation factors (Attachment 3) for RNUs, Local Delivery Network Upgrades (LDNUs) and Area Delivery Network Upgrades (ADNUs). Attachment 2² provides the 'constant' 2015 dollars and their escalation to the estimated COD year for IF, RNUs, DNU, and DU which the Project was allocated cost.

² For Energy Storage Projects the Attachment 2 includes upgrade(s) identified from the "Charging" analysis.

For the QC8 Phase I Study, the estimated COD is derived by taking into account time requirements to complete the QC8 Interconnection Process to tender a GIA. A GIA is not scheduled to be tendered until after completion of the QC8 Phase II Studies, Reassessment and Transmission Planning Deliverability (TPD)³ Allocation Study Process. The QC8 Phase II Study is scheduled to start on May 2016 and be completed by November 2016. Subsequently, the CAISO's Annual Reassessment effort and TPD Allocation Study does not commence until late January or early February 2017. The TPD Allocation Study is scheduled to be completed by April 2017. If the CAISO and SCE can make a determination that the TPD Allocation Study Process outcomes do not change the scope requirements, a letter will be provided at the end of April 2017⁴ informing the IC that there are no changes to Network Upgrade requirements and initiating the GIA negotiation process. Otherwise, further re-assessment will be performed for the Project. Any updates to scope, cost and schedule are developed and updated Interconnection Study reports will be issued by the end of July 2017. The GIA negotiations commence after either the issuance of the letter of no change to Network Upgrade requirements at the end of April 2017 or upon issuance of the updated reports at the end of July 2017. Provided the Project does not elect to Park for one (1) year, the letter issued by the CAISO and/or the updated Interconnection Study reports will be used as the basis to proceed with the GIA negotiations. Assuming a three (3) month timeframe for GIA negotiations after the draft GIA has been issued to the IC, an executable GIA is not expected until either early August 2017 or early November 2017 depending on TPD Allocation Study Process results, which requires a decision from the IC to Park or proceed and will determine if the Project needs to complete the CAISO's Reassessment Study. QC8 Phase I assumed the duration of the work element begins in December 2017, which accounts for the GIA and submittal of required funds by the IC.

Based on the above, the requested IC ISD of January 31, 2018 cannot be met due to the estimated 80 month timeline identified as required to construct the Distribution Upgrades needed to physically interconnect the project to the Rosamond Leg of the [REDACTED]. Following the standard interconnection process, the ISD should be modified to reflect October 2023 but may be later advanced to July 2023 depending on TPD Allocation Study Process results. This date may be improved upon provided the IC includes all scope needed to interconnect the project into the Project's environmental efforts. If the IC desires to accelerate timelines, SCE is open to discussing accelerating GIA execution during Results Meetings.

The IC should note that any LDNUs and ADNUs allocated to the Project may be assessed 35% Income Tax Component of Contribution (ITCC) pending the results of the TPD Allocation Study Process several months after the QC Phase II Study Reports are released, in addition to the 35% ITCC assessed for the IF, DUs, and RNUs above the \$60K/MW repayment cap allocated to the Project. For your information, Attachment 2 contains a potential ITCC estimate⁵ based on the Phase I cost in this study. It does not represent the "maximum ITCC exposure" of the Project. Attachment 3 provides an estimated non-

³ Transmission Plan Deliverability: Deliverability supported by the CAISO's Transmission Plan

⁴ The TPD Allocation Process is estimated to complete in April 2017. The actual date may vary

⁵ The maximum ITCC exposure applies ITCC (35%) to assigned IF and DU facilities. For Network upgrades, costs that are not subject to transmission credits and/or exceed the \$60k/MW cap will be subject to ITCC (35%). For Option A facilities: The maximum ITCC exposure is calculated by applying the following formula: $(IF*35\%) + ((RNU\ Costs - (Project\ MW* \$60k/MW)) * 35\%) + (DU*35\%)$. For Option B facilities: The maximum ITCC exposure is calculated by applying the following formula: $(IF*35\%) + ((RNU\ Costs - (Project\ MW* \$60k/MW)) * 35\%) + (LDNU*35\%) + (ADNU*35\%) + (DU*35\%)$

reimbursable RNU cost that would be subject to ITCC, taking into account the Network Upgrade maximum cost responsibility. The maximum ITCC warranted by the Project will be addressed, calculated, and included during the GIA development phase once the IC submits the TP Deliverability Allocation Study Process options form used to confirm the acceptance, waiver (parking), or denial of the awarded deliverability assigned to the Project.

K. SCE Technical Requirements

The IC is responsible for the protection of its own system and equipment and must meet the requirements in the Distribution Provider's Interconnection Handbook provided in Attachment 4.

L. Subsynchronous Interaction Evaluations

Certain generators or inverter based generators when interconnected within electrical proximity of series capacitor banks on the Transmission System are susceptible to Sub-Synchronous Interaction (SI) conditions which must be evaluated. Subsynchronous Interaction evaluations include Subsynchronous Resonance (SSR) and Subsynchronous Torsional Interactions (SSTI) for conventional generation units, and Subsynchronous Control Instability (SSCI) for inverter based generators using power electronic devices (e.g. Solar PV and Wind Turbines).

For projects interconnecting at the 220 kV voltage level and above in close electrical proximity of series capacitor banks on the Transmission System a study will need to be performed to evaluate the SI between generating facilities and the Transmission System.

The IC is 100% responsible for any studies related to the SSR or SSTI. The only study that SCE will perform (at the IC's expense) is for SSCI; to ensure that the Project does not damage SCE's control systems.

The SSCI study will require that the IC provide a detailed PSCAD model of its Generating Facility and associated control systems, along with the manufacturer representative's contact information. The study will identify any mitigation(s) that will be required as part of project execution and need to be completed prior to initial synchronization of the Generating Facility. The study and the proposed mitigation(s) shall be at the expense of the IC.

It is the IC's responsibility to select, purchase, and install turbine/inverter based generators that are compatible with the series compensation in the area.

M. Environmental Evaluation, Permitting, and Licensing

Please see Appendix K of the QC8 Phase I Area Report.

N. Affected Systems Coordination

Please see Section H of the QC8 Phase I Area Report.

O. Items not covered in this study

1. Conceptual Plan of Service

The results provided in this study are based on conceptual engineering and a preliminary POS and are not sufficient for permitting of facilities. The POS is subject to change as part of detailed engineering and design.

2. IC's Technical Data

The study accuracy and results for the QC8 Phase I Study are contingent upon the accuracy of the technical data provided by the IC. Any changes from the data provided could void the study results.

3. Study Impacts on Neighboring Utilities

Results or consequences of this QC8 Phase I Study may require additional studies, facility additions, and/or operating procedures to address impacts to neighboring utilities and/or regional forums. For example, impacts may include but are not limited to WECC Path Ratings, short circuit duties outside of the CAISO Controlled Grid, and sub-synchronous resonance (SSR). Refer to Affected Systems Coordination Section of the QC8 Phase I SCE Northern Area Report for additional information.

4. Use of Distribution Provider Facilities

The IC is responsible for acquiring all property rights necessary for the IC's Interconnection Facilities, including those required to cross Distribution Provider facilities and property. This Interconnection Study does not include the method or estimated cost to the IC of Distribution Provider mitigation measures that may be required to accommodate any proposed crossing of Distribution Provider facilities. The crossing of Distribution Provider property rights shall only be permitted upon written agreement between Distribution Provider and the IC at Distribution Provider's sole determination. Any proposed crossing of Distribution Provider property rights will require a separate study and/or evaluation, at the IC's expense, to determine whether such use may be accommodated.

5. Distribution Provider's Interconnection Handbook

The IC shall be required to adhere to all applicable requirements in the Distribution Provider's Interconnection Handbook. These include, but are not limited to, all applicable protection, voltage regulation, VAR correction, harmonics, switching and tagging, and metering requirements.

6. Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC) Policies

The IC shall be required to adhere to all applicable WECC policies including, but not limited to, the WECC Generating Unit Model Validation Policy.

7. System Protection Coordination

Adequate Protection coordination will be required between Distribution Provider-owned protection and IC-owned protection. If adequate protection coordination cannot be achieved, then modifications to the IC-owned facilities (i.e., Generation-tie or Substation modifications) may be required to allow for ample protection coordination.

8. Standby Power and Temporary Construction Power

The QC8 Phase I Study does not address any requirements for standby power or temporary construction power that the Project may require prior to the ISD of the Interconnection Facilities. Should the Project require standby power or temporary construction power from Distribution Provider prior to the ISD of the Interconnection Facilities, the IC is responsible to make appropriate arrangements with Distribution Provider to receive and pay for such retail service.

9. Licensing Cost and Estimated Time to Construct Estimate (Duration)

The estimated licensing cost and durations applied to this Project are based on the Project scope details presented in this study. These estimates are subject to change as Project environmental and real estate elements are further defined. Upon execution of the GIA, additional evaluation including but not limited to preliminary engineering, environmental surveys, and property right checks may enable licensing cost and/or duration updates to be provided.

10. Network/Non-Network Classification of Telecommunication Facilities

The cost for telecommunication facilities that were identified as part of the IC's Interconnection Facilities was based on an assumption that these facilities would be sited, licensed, and constructed by the IC. The IC will own, operate, maintain, and construct diverse telecommunication paths associated with the IC's generation tie line, excluding terminal equipment at both ends. In addition, the telecommunication requirements for SPS were assumed based on tripping of the generator breaker as opposed to tripping the circuit breakers at the Distribution Provider substation. Due to uncertainties related to telecommunication upgrades for the numerous projects in queue ahead of QC8 Phase I, telecommunication upgrades for higher queued projects were not considered in this study. Depending on the outcome of interconnection studies for higher queued projects, the telecommunication upgrades identified for QC8 Phase I may be reduced. Any changes in these assumptions may affect the cost and schedule for the identified telecommunication facilities.

11. Ground Grid Analysis

A detailed ground grid analysis will be required as part of the detailed engineering for the Project at the SCE substations whose ground grids were flagged with duty concerns.

12. Applicability

This document has been prepared to identify the impact(s) contributions of the Project on the SCE electrical system; as well as establish the technical requirements to interconnect the Project to the POI that was evaluated in the QC8 Phase I Study for the Project. Nothing in this report is intended to supersede or establish terms/conditions specified in fully executed GIAs.

13. Process for synchronization/trial operations and commercial operations of the Project

The IC is reminded that the CAISO has implemented a New Resource Implementation (NRI) process that ensures that a generation resource meets all requirements before synchronization/trial operations and commercial operations. The NRI uses a bucket system for deliverables from the IC that are required to be approved by the CAISO. The first step of this process is to submit an "ISO Initial Contact Information Request form" at least seven (7) months in advance of the planned initial synchronization. Subsequently an NRI project number will be assigned to the project for all future communications with the CAISO. The PTOs have no involvement in this NRI process except to inform the IC of this process requirement. Further information on the NRI process can be obtained from the CAISO Website using the following links:

New Resource Implementation webpage:

<http://www.caiso.com/participate/Pages/NewResourceImplementation/Default.aspx>

NRI Checklist:

<http://www.caiso.com/Documents/NewResourceImplementationChecklist.xls>

NRI Guide:

<http://www.caiso.com/Documents/NewResourceImplementationGuide.doc>

14. Potential Changes in Cost Responsibility

The IC is hereby placed on notice that interconnection of its proposed Generating Facility may be dependent upon certain Network Upgrades which are currently the cost responsibility of projects ahead of the proposed Generating Facility in the interconnection application queue. Section 14.2.2 of the GIDAP provides that should Network Upgrades required for queued-ahead projects be included in an executed GIA (or unexecuted GIA filed at FERC) at the time of withdrawal of the earlier queued Generating Facility, and the upgrades are determined to still be needed by later queued Generating Facilities, the financial responsibility for such upgrades falls to the Distribution Provider. However, if the Network Upgrades required by earlier queued Generating Facilities are not subject to an executed GIA (or unexecuted GIA filed at FERC) the financial responsibility for such upgrades may fall to the IC. Section 14.2.2 also discusses how Network Upgrades required by interconnection customers selecting Option (B) might be required to be reapportioned among interconnection customers selecting Option (B) in the case of withdrawals of earlier queued Generating Facilities. Changes in costs allocated to the IC could also arise as the result of the CAISO's Reassessment Study process described in Section 7.4 of the GIDAP. SCE encourages the IC to review Sections 7.4 and 14.2.2 of the GIDAP for the rules and processes under which the financial responsibility might be reapportioned to the IC. Potential changes in the IC's cost responsibility resulting from application of the provisions of these Sections of GIDAP are not included in this QC8 Phase I Study, nor are the potential impacts to the IC's maximum cost responsibility outlined.

15. Charging restrictions may occur in the future under future base case overloads.

16. Additional limitations may be driven by the CAISO market and distribution system operations.

17. Please note that SCE has made its best efforts to convey as much information possible based on information provided by the IC about its proposed project. The information contained herein may indicate to ICs that a project of its magnitude may be better suited to interconnect at higher voltage levels, or downsize as to not incur significant amount of restrictions. Any determination to change POIs or downsize is purely at the IC's discretion and would be subject to a SCE material modification review pursuant to the tariff.

Attachment 1
Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades
Please refer to separate document

Attachment 2
**Escalated Cost and Time to Construct for Interconnection Facilities, Reliability Network
Upgrades, Delivery Network Upgrades, and Distribution Upgrades**
Please refer to separate document

Attachment 3
Allocation of Network Upgrades for Cost Estimates and Maximum Network
Upgrade Cost Responsibility

No Network Upgrade costs were assigned to the Project.

Attachment 4

Distribution Provider's Interconnection Handbook

Preliminary Protection Requirements for Interconnection Facilities are outlined in the Distribution Provider's Interconnection Handbook (separate document)

Attachment 5
Short Circuit Duty Calculation Study Results
Please refer to the Appendix H of the Area Report

Attachment 6
Interconnection Customer Provided Dynamic Data
The following data were submitted by the IC for Dynamic simulation:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Attachment 7
SCE Northern Hemisphere Import Nomogram
Please refer to separate document

Attachment 8
Subtransmission Assessment Report
Please refer to separate document

Queue Cluster 8 Phase I - Attachment 1
WDT1268 - [REDACTED]
Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, and Distribution Upgrades

Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades ¹

To determine the cost responsibility of each generation project in QC8, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) developed cost allocation factors (Attachment 3) for Reliability Network Upgrades and Local Delivery Network Upgrades. The CAISO developed the \$/MW cost rate for incremental Area Delivery Network Upgrades. The cost rate multiplied by the requested deliverable MW capacity provides the cost estimate for the Area Delivery Network Upgrades. The Interconnection Facilities are the sole cost responsibility of the Project. The Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades are listed below.

1. Interconnection Facilities.

(a) **Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities.** The Interconnection Customer shall:

- (i) Install a substation, referred to as ProjectSub in this document, with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] with an [REDACTED] impedance on a [REDACTED]
- (ii) Install a new [REDACTED] from the Generating Facility to a position designated by the Distribution Provider, outside of the Distribution Provider's SCE W1268 Substation, where Interconnection Customer shall install a structure designed and engineered in accordance with the Distribution Provider's specifications ("Last Structure"). This generation tie-line will be referred to as the ProjectSub-SCE W1268 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. The right-of-way for the ProjectSub-SCE W1268 [REDACTED] shall extend up to the edge of the SCE W1268 Substation property line.

(Note: The ProjectSub-SCE W1268 [REDACTED] name is subject to change by the Distribution Provider based upon its transmission line naming criteria. Should the ProjectSub-SCE W1268 [REDACTED] name be changed, this GIA may be amended to reflect such change.)

- (iii) Install [REDACTED] on the ProjectSub-SCE W1268 [REDACTED] to provide one of two telecommunication paths required for the line protection scheme and the Remote Terminal Unit ("RTU"). A minimum of eight (8) strands within the ADSS fiber optic cable shall be provided for the Distribution Provider's exclusive use into SCE W1268 Substation.

¹ Such descriptions are subject to modification to reflect the actual facilities that are constructed and installed following the Distribution Provider's detailed engineering and design, identification of field conditions, and compliance with applicable environmental and permitting requirements.

- (iv) Install appropriate ADSS fiber optic cable from the Generating Facility to a point designated by the Distribution Provider near the Distribution Provider's SCE W1268 Substation to provide the second telecommunication path required for the line protection scheme. A minimum of eight (8) strands within the ADSS fiber optic cable shall be provided for the Distribution Provider's exclusive use. The telecommunication path shall meet the Applicable Reliability Standards criteria for diversity.
- (v) Own, operate and maintain both telecommunication paths (including the fiber optic cables and appurtenant facilities), with the exception of the terminal equipment at both SCE W1268 Substation and at the Generating Facility, which terminal equipment will be installed, owned, operated and maintained by the Distribution Provider.
- (vi) Allow the Distribution Provider to review the Interconnection Customer's telecommunication equipment design and perform inspections to ensure compatibility with the Distribution Provider's terminal equipment and protection engineering requirements; allow the Distribution Provider to perform acceptance testing of the telecommunication equipment and the right to request and/or to perform correction of installation deficiencies.
- (vii) Provide required data signals, make available adequate space, facilities, and associated dedicated electrical circuits within a secure building having suitable environmental controls for the installation of the Distribution Provider's RTU in accordance with the Distribution Provider's Interconnection Handbook.
- (viii) Make available adequate space, facilities, and associated dedicated electrical circuits within a secure building having suitable environmental controls for the installation of the Distribution Provider's telecommunications terminal equipment in accordance with the Distribution Provider's Interconnection Handbook.
- (ix) Extend the ADSS fiber optic cables for the two telecommunication paths to an Interconnection Customer provided and installed patch panel located adjacent to the Distribution Provider's telecommunications terminal equipment specified above.
- (x) Install all required ISO-approved compliant metering equipment at the Generating Facility, in accordance with Section 10 of the ISO Tariff.
- (xi) Install a revenue metering cabinet and revenue metering equipment (typically, potential and current transformers) at the Generating Facility to meter the Generating Facility retail load, as specified by the Distribution Provider. The metering cabinet must be placed at a location that would allow twenty-four hour access for the Distribution Provider's metering personnel.
- (xii) Allow the Distribution Provider to install, in the revenue metering cabinet provided by the Interconnection Customer, revenue meters and appurtenant equipment required to meter the retail load at the Generating Facility.

- (xiii) Install relay protection to be specified by the Distribution Provider to match the relay protection used by the Distribution Provider at SCE W1268 Substation, in order to protect the ProjectSub-SCE W1268 66 kV Line, as follows:
 - 1. Two (2) current differential relays via diversely routed dedicated digital communication channels to SCE W1268 Substation. The make and type of current differential relays will be specified by the Distribution Provider during detailed engineering of the Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities.
- (xiv) Install all equipment necessary to comply with the power factor requirements of Article 9.6.1 of the GIA, including the ability to automatically regulate the power factor to a schedule (VAR schedule) in accordance with the Distribution Provider's Interconnection Handbook.
- (xv) Install disconnect facilities in accordance with the Distribution Provider's Interconnection Handbook to comply with the Distribution Provider's switching and tagging procedures.

(b) **Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities.** The Distribution Provider shall:

(i) **SCE W1268 Substation.**

- 1. Install facilities for a new 66 kV loop substation to terminate the ProjectSub-SCE W1268 66 kV Line. This work includes the following:
 - a. One (1) 66 kV dead-end substation structure.
 - b. Three (3) 66 kV potential transformers with steel pedestal support structures.
 - c. Three (3) 66 kV line drops.
- 2. Install the following relays to protect the ProjectSub-SCE W1268 66 kV Line:
 - a. Two (2) current differential relays via diversely routed dedicated digital communications channels to the Generating Facility.

(ii) **ProjectSub-SCE W1268 66 kV Line.**

Install an appropriate number of 66 kV transmission structures including insulator/hardware assemblies, and appropriate number of spans of conductor and ADSS fiber optic cable between the Last Structure and the dead-end substation structure at SCE W1268 Substation. The actual number and location of the transmission structures and spans of conductor and ADSS fiber optic cable will be determined by the Distribution Provider following completion of detailed engineering of the Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities. The Phase I Interconnection Study assumed two transmission structures and three spans of conductor and ADSS fiber optic cable.

(iii) **Telecommunications.**

center. Notwithstanding that the RTU will be located on the Interconnection Customer's side of the Point of Change of Ownership, the Distribution Provider shall own, operate and maintain the RTU as part of the Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities.

2. Network Upgrades.

(a) **Stand Alone Network Upgrades.** None.

(b) **Other Network Upgrades.**

(i) **Reliability Network Upgrades.**

None identified in the Phase I Interconnection Study

(ii) **Delivery Network Upgrades.**

1. **Area Delivery Network Upgrades.**

None identified in the Phase I Interconnection Study

2. **Local Delivery Network Upgrades.**

None identified in the Phase I Interconnection Study

3. Distribution Upgrades. The Distribution Provider shall:

(a) **SCE W1268 Substation.**

(i) Engineer and construct the SCE W1268 66 kV Substation, which will include the following elements:

1. One (1) 66 kV box rack structure and foundations
2. Three (3) 66 kV circuit breakers
3. Seven (7) 66 kV disconnect switches
4. Overhead conductor

(ii) Mechanical Electrical Equipment Room ("MEER"): Install a new MEER building of approximately 28 feet by 16 feet to house the following equipment:

1. Batteries and battery charger
2. Light and power selector switch
3. Light and power panel
4. A.C. distribution panel
5. D.C. distribution panel
6. Relay protection.
7. Telecommunication equipment
8. Appurtenant facilities

(iii) Protection Relays:

1. Two (2) current differential relays via diversely routed dedicated digital communications channels for the Antelope-Cal Cement 66 kV Line.
2. Two (2) current differential relays via diversely routed dedicated digital communications channels for the Rosamond 66 kV line.

(iv) Other Station Elements to be Installed:

1. Perimeter fence with double barbed wire and a double door 18-foot gate around the substation.

1. Install all required lightwave, channel banks, and associated equipment (including terminal equipment), supporting protection, RTU and Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) requirements at the Generating Facility and SCE W1268 Substation for the interconnection of the Generating Facility. Notwithstanding that certain telecommunication equipment, including the telecommunications terminal equipment, will be located on the Interconnection Customer's side of the Point of Change of Ownership, the Distribution Provider shall own, operate and maintain such telecommunication equipment as part of the Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities.
2. Install appropriate length of fiber optic cable, including conduit and vaults, from the SCE W1268 Substation 66 kV switchrack to extend the ADSS fiber optic cable into the communication room at SCE W1268 Substation. The actual location and length of fiber optic cable and conduit, and location and number of vaults, will be determined during detailed engineering of the Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities.
3. Install appropriate length of fiber optic cable, including conduit and vaults, to extend the Interconnection Customer's diverse telecommunications from the point designated by the Distribution Provider near the Distribution Provider's SCE W1268 Substation into the communication room at SCE W1268 Substation. The actual location and length of fiber optic cable and conduit, and location and number of vaults, will be determined during detailed engineering of the Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities.

(iv) **Real Properties, Permits, and Licensing.**

Obtain easements and/or acquire land, obtain licensing and permits, and perform all required environmental activities for the installation of the Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities, including any associated telecommunication equipment for the ProjectSub-SCE W1268 66 kV line.

(v) **Metering.**

Install revenue meters and appurtenant equipment required to meter the retail load at the Generating Facility. Notwithstanding that the meters and appurtenant equipment will be located on the Interconnection Customer's side of the Point of Change of Ownership, the Distribution Provider shall own, operate and maintain such facilities as part of the Distribution Provider's Interconnection Facilities.

(vi) **Power System Control.**

Install one (1) RTU at the Generating Facility to monitor typical generation elements such as MW, MVAR, terminal voltage and circuit breaker status for the Generating Facility and plant auxiliary load, and transmit the information received thereby to the Distribution Provider's grid control


2. Grounding grid to cover the substation area and additional 10 feet outside the perimeter fence.
 3. Perform grading and site preparation for the substation area and additional 10 feet outside the perimeter fence.
 4. All required control cable trenches from the relay room to the 66 kV switchyard.
- (b) **Antelope-Cal Cement-Rosamond 66 kV Line.**
 Loop the existing Antelope-Cal Cement-Rosamond 66 kV Transmission Line into SCE W1268 Substation and form two new lines: Antelope-Cal Cement-SCE W1268 and Rosamond-SCE W1268 66 kV Transmission Lines. This work requires the installation of new structures at the tie-in locations along with related line hardware and conductors. From the tie-in locations, the lines will continue to the proposed new SCE W1268 Substation site.
- (c) **Telecommunications.**
 Install new telecommunication terminal equipment to support the line protection relays on the Antelope-Cal Cement-SCE W1268 and Rosamond-SCE W1268 66 kV Transmission Lines. The new telecommunication terminal equipment will be installed at SCE W1268 Substation, Rosamond Substation, Cal Cement Substation, and Antelope Substation.
- (d) **Real Properties, Permits, and Licensing.**
 Obtain easements and/or acquire land, obtain licensing and permits, and perform all required environmental activities for the installation of the Distribution Upgrades, including any associated telecommunication equipment.
- (e) **Power System Control.**
 Point additions to the existing RTUs at Antelope, Cal Cement, and Rosamond Substations for the new protection relay status/alarm/control.
- (f) **Short Circuit Duty (SCD) Mitigation – DU.**
 None identified in the Phase I Interconnection Study.
4. **Affected System Upgrades.**
 Not Used.
5. **Point of Change of Ownership.**
- (a) ProjectSub-SCE W1268 66 kV Line: The Point of Change of Ownership shall be the point where the conductors of the ProjectSub-SCE W1268 66 kV Line are attached to the Last Structure, which will be connected on the side of the Last Structure facing SCE W1268 Substation. The Interconnection Customer shall own and maintain the Last Structure, the conductors, insulators and jumper loops from such Last Structure to the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility. The Distribution Provider will own and maintain SCE

W1268 Substation, as well as all circuit breakers, disconnects, relay facilities and metering within SCE W1268 Substation, together with the line drop, in their entirety, from the Last Structure to SCE W1268 Substation. The Distribution Provider will own the insulators that are used to attach the Distribution Provider-owned conductors to the Last Structure.

- (b) Telecommunication ADSS fiber optic cable: The Point of Change of Ownership shall be the point designated by the Distribution Provider outside the Distribution Provider's substation where the ADSS fiber optic cable for the ProjectSub-SCE W1268 66 kV Line is attached to the Distribution Provider owned fiber optic cable.
- (c) Telecommunication diverse fiber optic cable: The Point of Change of Ownership shall be the point designated by the Distribution Provider outside the Distribution Provider's substation, or a Distribution Provider owned vault, where the Interconnection Customer's fiber optic cable is connected to the Distribution Provider's fiber optic cable.

6. Point of Interconnection. The Distribution Provider's SCE W1268 66 kV Substation.

7. One-Line Diagram of Interconnection to SCE W1268 66 kV Substation.



Addendum to Appendix A – WDT1268



Addendum #1

Cluster 8 Phase I Final Report

February 12, 2016

This study has been completed in coordination with the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) per Southern California Edison Company's Wholesale Distribution Access Tariff, Attachment I Generator Interconnection Procedures (GIP)

Project No.	Project Name	No	Date	Document Title	Description of Document
WDT1268	██████	2	2/12/2016	Addendum #1 to Queue Cluster 8 Phase I Appendix A Final Report	The purpose of this report is reflect the appropriate classification of the Corporate Environmental Health and Safety & Real Properties costs associated with the Distribution Upgrades allocated to the Project and publish the written comments provided by the IC to SCE in accordance with the timelines stated per Section 4.5.7 in GIP
WDT1268	██████	1	1/15/2016	Queue Cluster 8 Phase II Appendix A Final Report	Report to disclose results of QC8 Phase I cluster.

Executive Summary

██████████ an Interconnection Customer (IC), received a Queue Cluster 8 Phase I (QC8 Phase I) study report dated January 15, 2016 for its Interconnection Request (IR) to Southern California Edison (SCE) for their proposed ██████████ queue position WDT1268.

During the Phase I study results meeting of the Project on February 1, 2016, it was discovered that subsequent to the release of the QC8 Phase I report package for the Project dated January 15, 2016 it was determined that the following item(s) in the QC8 Phase II report package for the Project required an update to reflect the appropriate classification of the Corporate Environmental Health and Safety & Real Properties costs associated with the Distribution Upgrades allocated to the Project.

1. Escalated Cost and Time to Construct for Interconnection Facilities, Reliability Network Upgrades, Delivery Network Upgrades, and Distribution Upgrades (Attachment 2 to Project Appendix A report dated January 15, 2016)

These item(s) have been updated accordingly, and are attached as part of this QC8 Phase I Addendum report package. The corresponding changes replace and supersede those same sections in the Project's QC8 Phase I Appendix A report dated January 15, 2016.

In addition, subsequent to the distribution of the report, to comply with GIP obligation to IC's written comments on interconnection studies as modified by FERC Order 792, SCE is publishing any written comments submitted by the IC:

- Within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of the QC7 PII report, but in no event less than three (3) Business Days before the Results Meeting conducted to discuss the report; and/or
- Additional comments on the final QC7 Phase II Interconnection Study report up to (3) Business Days following the Results Meeting

This addendum report discloses below the written comments provided by the IC to SCE in accordance with the timelines stated in GIP for QC8 Phase I study report dated January 15, 2016. The Phase I study report is unaffected by this addendum report.

QC8 Phase I – WDT1268 – [REDACTED]

1. Written comments provided by IC within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of the QC8 PI report
 - a. How much of the initial study deposit is left for each project and will it be sufficient to complete Phase II?
 - b. WDT1268:
 - Explanation of why there are substation costs in both IF and DU?
 - Why do the substation costs (DU: \$4.731M and IF: \$1.18M) deviate from the published Unit Cost Guide of \$4.378M for a looped substation at 66kV?
 - Why are there Corporate Environmental costs in both IF and DU?
 - The IC intends to do the permitting for the switchyard, so this will remove the Corporate Environmental line items, correct?
 - If the IC will provides the land for the switchyard, the Real Properties costs would be removed?
 - Since there is no voltage change in the switchyard, licensing is not required by the CPUC (confirmed with the CPUC). Given this, shouldn't Licensing costs be removed from Interconnection Facilities?
 - How much capacity remains on the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] How much in the northern [REDACTED] system?
2. Written comments provided by IC three (3) Business Days following the Results Meeting
 - a. None